

The Role of Radio-Télévision Libre Des Mille Collines in the Rwandan Genocide: An Analysis from the Theoretical Perspectives of Intergroup Threat and Aggression

Gustave Adolphe Messanga¹, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu²

Department of Philosophy-Psychology-Sociology, University of Dschang, Cameroon

Email: messangaadolphe@gmail.com

Keywords

RTLM, genocide, intergroup threat, intergroup aggression, Hutu, Tutsi

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on the role of Radio-Télévision Libre des Mille Collines (RTLM) in the Rwandan genocide. It analyzes the radio broadcasts through the theories of intergroup threat and aggression. In this perspective, RTLM is conceived as a manipulative and propagandist agent which participated in the perpetration of mass killings constituting the Rwandan genocide, through the dissemination of the ideology of hatred before and during the genocide as well as providing logistical assistance to those involved in the killings. RTLM broadcasts were structured with the intent to portray Hutus as victims (intergroup threat), with the aim of justifying the use of violent actions against Tutsi (intergroup aggression). The analyzed corpus consists of extracts from RTLM broadcasts selected from transcripts stored at the Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies (MIGS) and at the International Monitor Institute (IMI). These extracts were analyzed by using discourse analysis methods, revealing that RTLM's discourse was based on the victimization and glorification of Hutus, as well as the devaluation and demonization of Tutsis. Concretely, the radio broadcasts were structured to incite Hutu (past and present victims of injustice) to exterminate Tutsi (the enemies, the "cockroaches" (inyenzi)) and to eradicate them from Rwandan society. The broadcasts were built around two main themes, including the threat, which includes elements like propaganda and hatred, homogenization, categorization and victimization; and aggressiveness which includes the revolutionary vision of Rwanda, the dehumanization of Tutsi, their designation as enemies, the search for a just and homogeneous society.

INTRODUCTION

Genocide is the systematic elimination of a gender, ethnicity, nationality, race, culture or group identity (Bilsky & Klagsbrun, 2018; Finnegan, 2020; O'Brien & Hoffstaedter, 2020; Suedfeld, 2020). It can be conceptualized as the eradication of groups defined by their hierarchical position, political opposition to the genocidal regime, or common characteristics. The Rwandan genocide, which involves two ethnic groups (Hutus and Tutsis), is seen as the Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu the tragic outcome of a society plagued by hatred, discrimination, prejudice, and ethnic divisions (Mutabazi, 2011; Prunier, 1995a). In all genocides, the authorities gave instructions to the

populations to exterminate the individuals they considered to be enemies. However, the Rwandan genocide stands out due to the pivotal role played by the media in general and Radio- Télévision Libre des Mille Collines (RTLM) in particular (Gragg, 2012). RTLM considered as the voice of central power and a credible media outlet among the population, owing to its support from the governing authorities, played a significant role in inciting Hutus to engage in mass violence (Asad, 2014). Former studies have further analyzed its role from a media-related perspective, as a medium of spreading hatred, a propaganda tool aimed at persuading the masses, and a logistical instrument. However, prior studies have not explored the sense of incitement to threat and intergroup aggression, especially by emphasizing how this radio station aroused intra-ethnic coercion and intimidation, obedience, fear of war, desire for revenge, anger, desire to plunder or land acquisition, as well as interpersonal and intergroup rivalries. These elements presented Hutu as the victims of the system (intergroup threat) and justified the violence against Tutsi (intergroup aggression). This research aims to delve deeply into these aspects, shedding light on their significance in the context of the Rwandan genocide.

METHODS

The method used in this research is discourse analysis, a transdisciplinary method within qualitative methodology that responds to trends in pragmatics, linguistics, anthropology, intercultural or social linguistics, ethnology and sociology. It is a research technique that goes beyond what people say when speaking, aiming to interpret and analyze speeches according to the speaker's identity. It analyzes the articulation of the text and the social context in which it is produced (Maingueneau, 1984). This method involves placing language facts in the broader context of specific psychological and social phenomena, such as action and influence. It is within this framework that the present study is situated. It analyzes RTLM broadcasts, placing them in the context of the factors that led to mass killings in the Rwandan genocide.

This study takes place in the perspective of the psychological analysis of genocide and victimization in the context of mass killings (McDoom, 2012; Staub, 2002, 2013; Staub et al., 2005; Suedfeld, 2020; Vollhardt & Bilewicz, 2013). Its empirical basis is comprised of extracts from RTLM broadcasts, used in the context of legal proceedings at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR). These audio recordings are archived at the Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies (MIGS) and at the International Monitor Institute (IMI), a non-profit organization mandated by the ICTR to translate Kinyarwanda broadcasts into English and French. They were used as evidence to support the involvement of political leaders and journalists in the genocide (Kellow & Steeves, 1998).

The excerpts from the radio broadcasts are divided into two periods: before the assassination of Rwandan President Juvénal Habyarimana on April 6, 1994, and after this assassination until the end of the genocide in July 1994. This division is justified from an analytical perspective as it allows us to identify the focus of this research. Before this assassination, RTLM portrayed Tutsis as a threat to Hutus, propagating a sense of fear and hatred within the Hutu ethnic community (intergroup threat). In the period following this assassination, RTLM broadcasts not only tried to persuade all Hutus to participate in mass killings, but also played a logistical role in planning these acts of mass violence (intergroup aggression).

According to the director of the MIGS (Chalk, 1999), the transcripts could be incomplete, since RTLM broadcasted propaganda in the period from October 1993 to July 1994. However, the translated transcripts cover only 55 days, or 15.3% of the 361 days of RTLM broadcasting (McDoom, 2012). The transcripts are available in English, French and one of Rwanda's two national languages, Kinyarwanda. For the purposes of this research, only transcripts available in English and French were examined. In addition, it is important to note that most of the transcripts available in these two languages were originally translated for the ICTR and were primarily used as evidence to support charges against radio officials and journalists. It could potentially bias in the analysis of RTLM's content, as they were selected for legal purposes. To remedy this problem, this study also incorporates secondary sources providing a more in-depth analysis of the radio station's content, in order to understand the persuasion techniques

and logistics used by its journalists to incite violence.

RESULTS

Corpus Analysis

The analysis of this study will be done in two stages. First, we examine the theme related to intergroup threat, focusing on how RTLM broadcasts created a sense of threat among Hutus. Second, we dwell into the incitement to intergroup aggression caused by these broadcasts.

Intergroup threat in RTLM broadcasts

Intergroup threat theory posits that threat emerges when the actions, beliefs or characteristics of one group or individual compete with or oppose the goals or well-being of another group or individual. It distinguishes between individual threat and group threat. In both cases, the individual or group feels the threat based on their membership in a particular collective entity (Stephan & Renfro, 2002). At both the group or individual level, threat can be realistic or symbolic. Realistic threats jeopardize physical and material well-being, while symbolic threats challenge an individual's value system, beliefs or identity. In this study, we address the sense of intergroup threat aroused by RTLM broadcasts, focusing on the following sub-themes: the dangerousness of Tutsi, incitement to ethnic hatred, victimization of Hutu and intergroup categorization.

The designation of Tutsi as a danger to society : an oppressive and violent people

The crash of President Habyarimana's plane on April 6, 1994, made the Tutsi, a people considered oppressive and violent (Monasebian, 2007), as a threat to Rwandan society predominantly composed of Hutu. Confronted with this danger, RTLM journalist Bemeriki, relying on the ninth Hutu commandment, paragraph 1, urges the Bahutu, wherever they are, to be united, in solidarity and to be concerned about the fate of their Hutu brothers. On June 4, 1994, he declared on RTLM airwaves: « I am greeting the Rwandan Armed Forces... We know how useful you are for us... the population must defend itself? Harassed by the enemy ». In RTLM broadcasts, Tutsis are described by terms such as: malicious, liars, or enemies ; hence the call for solidarity between Hutu to fight them.

Propaganda and ethnic hatred

Propaganda involves the dissemination of information for the purpose of influencing the opinions of others. It serves as a tool of control and compliance instrument used by the dominant group (Fitzmaurice, 2018 ; Malhan & Dewani, 2020 ; Sanz, 2018). RTLM relied on this strategy to encourage Hutus to believe in the threat represented by Tutsis. Journalist Karamira's word, made on April 22, 1994, exemplify the intent to influence opinions. He emphasized the role played by the CDR militia in managing the conflict with the Tutsi rebel movement of the RPF. He declares:

CDR has long contributed to correct what was not perfect because it was not seeking its personal interest but Rwanda's interest. CDR could not make any harm to the agreements since they seemed able to bring peace...Unfortunately, RPF on its part was against and CDR did everything to show it. RPF impinged on their application until it sought to prevent CDR from being part of the transitional institutions whereas our participation was specified by the agreements.

In the same vein, Kantano, another RTLM journalist, launched a strong appeal for resistance on May 23, 1994, declaring: «The fathers of Inyenzi fled away in the 59's. However, Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu the fathers told their children: "It's high time to take weapons and fight for the recovery of the power encroached upon us by Hutus. So, stand up ! » The purpose of these messages is to alert the Hutus to the prevailing danger. The following statements, aired on RTLM on April 15 by Barahinyura and June 22, 1994 by Bemeriki, also reveal how effective the propaganda campaign was: « However, our war does not use bullets. It uses papers and words »; « your weapon RTLM is there, it will fight against them...». In the same vein, on June 2, 1994, Bemeriki states:

Now, we seem to have forgotten political parties and it is understandable since the enemy who harasses us is unique ... In the meantime, we have put aside matters of political parties even if the international community is shouting: 'Interahamwe! Interahamwe!'... But for us, we apply that word to

all of us, to all Rwandans who stood up together, at the same time, who got united in order to beat the Inyenzi Inkotanyi

These excerpts reflect the importance of supporting the creation of militias within the Hutu group as a mean to resist the RPF. They report on the propaganda and hatred broadcast by this radio station, with the aim to arouse in the Hutu a feeling of threat likely to push them to oppose the Tutsi.

The victimization of Hutus

Victimization consists of the consideration of Hutus as victims of the genocide. The following excerpts evoke this feeling of victimization. On June 22, 1994, Gahigi declared on RTLM airwaves that:

French troops are coming to Rwanda saying that they are coming to stop killings in Rwanda... The Rwandan government says: French troops, you are welcome. The Inkotanyi say: All our supporters who are in the country or outside the country stand up against the bad initiative of France. So, who is the killer? The killers are obviously the Inkotanyi as we have always been telling you.

He adds : «...the objective of the Tutsi is obviously to exterminate the Hutu, the majority mass ». In the same perspective, on May 20, 1994, Bemeriki, a regular journalist for RTLM, declared : « Aussi longtemps que nous nous lèverons tous ensemble pour combattre les Inkotanyi, Dieu sera à nos côtés et Jésus nous prêtera main-forte pour les vaincre. Vous qui êtes au front, tenez fort, la Sainte Vierge est avec nous, elle n'ignore pas que nous sommes des victimes. » On March 30, 1994, Gahigi follows the same logic :

« The problem is that, in fact, the Arusha agreements have dealt with two main issues, the first issue was to stop the war launched by RPF and the second issue which is in my opinion the main issue was to share the power. And it is obvious that RPF does not want to share the power that they want to keep totally as they wished it when they launched the war in 1990. »

The victimization of Hutu implicitly suggests their extermination by Tutsi (for example : « So, who is the killer ? The killers are obviously the Inkotanyi, to exterminate the Hutu »). Since the assassination of President Habyarimana, Hutus have been portrayed as the victims of the violence perpetrated by Tutsi. This is why these journalists discuss territorial threat (the need to defend our city and our country) and victimization (portraying themselves as victims). In short, the Hutu victimize themselves by attributing the responsibility for the political and socioeconomic crises faced by the country to the Tutsis.

Intergroup categorization

Categorization involves grouping individuals based on their identity or social class. In Rwanda based on identity, Tutsi are categorized as Hamites (upper race, often associated with herders) and Hutu are categorized as Bantu (lower class, predominantly farmers). The excerpt below, broadcast on RTLM on December 2, 1993, evokes this ethnic categorization:

Les Tutsi sont des nomades et des envahisseurs qui sont venus au Rwanda à la recherche de pâturages, mais parce qu'ils sont si rusés et méchants, les Tutsi ont réussi à rester et à gouverner. Si vous autorisez le retour des Tutsi ? Hamites, ils ne vous dirigeront passeulement au Rwanda, mais étendront également leur pouvoir dans la région des Grands Lacs.

In short, by emphasizing the dangerousness of Tutsi, propaganda and ethnic hatred, victimization of Hutu and intergroup categorization, RTLM broadcasts played a role in fostering a sense of intergroup threat among Tutsi. This feeling of threat materialized in intergroup aggression.

Incitement to intergroup aggression in RTLM programs

Aggression is an intentional act aimed at harming others (Akçay & Akçay, 2020 ; Estévez et al., 2018). There are two main strategies for acts of human aggression: hostile and instrumental. Hostile aggression is characterized as impulsive, thoughtless, unforeseen, and anger-driven, with the ultimate goal of harming the target and occurs in response to a perceived provocation. This is sometimes called emotional, impulsive or reaction aggression. Instrumental aggression, on the other hand, is a premeditated means to achieve a goal other than harming the victim. It is proactive rather than reactive (Berkowitz, 1993 ; Geen, 2001). The literature distinguishes between different types of aggression and various functions that aggression fulfills (Krahé, 2013 ; Parrott & Giancola, 2007). These authors

distinguish between various forms of assaults, including: (1) physically injuring a person (physical assault, involving actions like hitting, biting, kicking or stabbing, shooting); (2) harming a person with words (verbal aggression) such as yelling and shouting); and (3) engaging in relationship aggression, which involves damaging someone's reputation or friendship through verbal or electronic means. These assaults can also be direct (with victims physically present) or indirect (in the absence of the victim, by breaking someone's property or spreading false information about them) (Allen & Anderson, 2017).

Aggressions also differ in their function. Anderson and Huesmann (2003), propose that to understand the function of aggression, it is necessary to consider three dimensions, namely the degree of nuisance the victim poses to the perpetrator, the level of hostile or agitated emotions presented, and the extent of thoughtfulness behind the aggression. On one hand, aggression may be a pure intention to punish or injure the targeted person, for example by reacting aggressively to a provocation (reactive, affective, hostile, aggressively repulsive, or retaliatory). On the other hand, it may be a thoughtful or deliberate plan to hurt others to achieve the desired result (proactive, planned, or cold aggression) (Wrangham, 2018). An assault can be an automatic response motivated by self-protection mechanisms.

As part of the present analysis of the role played by RTLM broadcasts in the Rwandan genocide, we are interested in the revolutionary vision of Rwanda, the designation of Tutsi as enemies of Rwanda, the search for social justice and homogenization of society, and dehumanization of Tutsi.

The revolutionary vision of Rwanda and the extermination of Tutsi

In an RTLM program broadcast on July 2, 1994, journalist Kantano Habimana incited the Hutus to revolt as in the past, at the time of Tutsi' monarchy : « we have to see the similarity of things, during the 1959 revolution. » In Rwanda, the social revolution that broke out in November 1959 almost spawned a civil war. It killed several hundred people and drove nearly one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) Tutsis on the paths of exile. This revolution led by Hutus against Tutsis could appear, at first glance, like the social revolution of the small or underprivileged against the large or privileged. However, it quickly transformed into a racial revolution, the contours of which raised fears of genocide. This is evidenced by this extract from a leaflet published in 1961 by a party opposing the racial ideology promoted by the Parmehutu party, a torchbearer of the Hutu cause: « [Pour le Parmehutu,] le progrès d'un Hutu, c'est la destruction des Tutsi au Rwanda [...]. C'est bouffer les vaches, incendier les huttes des voisins, tuer les Banyarwanda, nos frères. Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu Une pareille méchanceté est dite "travailler". » (Unesco, n.d.: 5) During the genocide of 1994, these prescriptions were put into practice.

The designation of Tutsi as enemies of Rwanda

In the speeches of RTLM journalists, the Tutsis are clearly seen as enemies of Rwanda. This is exemplified by these remarks made by Bémériki, June 28, 1994 : « As a consequence, the enemy of our country, our common enemy, the Inyenzi-Inkotanyi, may take advantage and infiltrate among the population. » This perception fully justifies the call for the extermination of this ethnic component of Rwandan society which, in the space of a few decades, has gone from the status of an indigenous group to that of an invader to be slaughtered (Piton, 2018; Piton & Adjemian, 2018).

The search for a fair and equitable society

In the perspective of the genocidal Hutu, a just and equitable society is made up of equal individuals and treated according to their merits. However, to access it, they believed that achieving this goal required the elimination of Tutsi from Rwandan society. On July 2, 1994, journalist Kantano Habimana rejoiced at the extermination of Tutsi:

Mais donc ! Ces Inkotanyi qui me téléphonaient à la radio, où sont-ils allés ? Ils doivent sûrement avoir été exterminés. Ils doivent sûrement avoir été exterminés...Chantons donc : Réjouissons-nous, amis ! Les Inkotanyi ont été exterminés ! Réjouissons-nous, amis ! Dieu ne peut jamais être injuste !

This extermination is celebrated because it is believed to lead to the restoration of peace, abolition of the Abuhake culture and elimination of the Hamitic (Tutsi) myth.

The homogenization of society

Societies that experience social diversity often encounter intergroup divisions. The literature indicates that the level of diversity in a country, whether it leans towards ethnic homogeneity or heterogeneity, can contribute to increased intergroup tensions (Medeiros, 2014). To overcome these tensions, some individuals are inclined to seek the solution that involves segregating or separating populations to minimize contact between the parties in conflict. Two methods can be used to achieve this goal. The first method involves the complete assimilation of one of the groups by the other. The second, more macabre, refers to genocide, proceeding through the extermination of members of one of the groups present; that is known as ethnic cleansing (Messanga, 2018). This is the solution chosen by elites and Hutu populations. In this vein, an RTLM journalist declared on May 29, 1994:

The one who does not have papers should remain there or even leave his [her] head there. However, in reality I think that the check should be necessary because everybody should have his [her] papers with him [her] certifying that he [she] is really Rwandan and is really a son of "Sebahinzi" that he is not an enemy, or an accomplice or an Inkotanyi. I think that all those who remain in this country, we know each other; we are the sons of the same man.

On May 16-17, 1994, another said:

And you people, who live down there near Rugunga, even though it is raining, go out. You will see Inkotanyi's straw-huts in the marsh where horses are kept. It is clear then that this place shelters Inkotanyi [RPF soldiers]. I think that those who have guns should immediately go to these Inkotanyi before they listen to Radio RTLM and flee. Stand near this place and encircle them and kill them because they are there.

Dehumanization of Tutsis

Dehumanization is the fourth step out of ten in the stages of genocide model developed by Gregory Stanton (Luft, 2019). It involves using specific terms to designate undesirable groups, thereby removing all humanity from their members, in particular by assimilating them to animals. Through this process, the majority group comes to perceive the other group as less than human, even alien to their society. Its members are indoctrinated into believing that they would be better off without their presence of the targeted group, which can lead to discussion of their deportation or even extermination. This was the case in Nazi Germany where Jews were called rats and vermin. In Rwanda, the terms cockroaches and snakes were used to describe Tutsis population. In this vein, between May 26 and May 28, 1994, Kantano Habimana gave the following speech on RTLM:

Je profite de l'occasion pour dire bonjour aux jeunes qui sont à la barrière, près de l'abattoir, sur la route de Kimisagara. Hier je les ai trouvés en train de danser le zouk... Gardez bien le caniveau, pour que demain aucun cafard ne passe !... Que vous soyez enragés et que nous puissions combattre pour notre ville, notre pays, chers frères... Bon courage, restez à l'écoute de la Radio RTLM, il est 12 h 02.

On June 14, 1994, Bemeriiki, another RTLM journalist, declared : « The worst kind of inyenzi, I don't mean just Tutsi who are all inyenzi, for me the worst kind of inyenzi is a Hutu inyenzi. A Hutu who plots with other Hutu telling them: "Get up, run away" when the inyenzi are not even there yet. »

DISCUSSION

The objective of this study was to analyze RTLM broadcasts in the context of Rwandan genocide, based on theories of threat and intergroup aggression. It starts with the premise that while this medium cannot be considered as the instigator of the genocide, it played a significant role in the events by spreading the genocidal ideology, instigating the sense of threat among Hutus and providing logistical assistance in planning the killings. The analysis of the audio tapes stored at the MIGS and the IMI not only allowed us to identify the constituent elements of the speeches of RTLM journalists used in the propaganda and ideology of Hutu Power (intergroup threat), but also those used in the planning of killings (intergroup aggression).

This research sheds light on the relevance of the strategy developed by RTLM in inciting threat and intergroup aggression before and during the Rwandan genocide. It confirms the fact that radio can have a very important influence on listeners. Indeed, RTLM presented various forms of information to

attract the attention of the population (Mirondo, 2007). Music, speeches, announcements, interviews, and debates on the history of the enemies (Tutsi) and the future of Rwanda were frequently on air. The populations massively and quickly adhered to this logic by participating and obeying messages deemed legitimate and fair. It is because this medium was considered as the voice of the central state, affording it the opportunity to spread the genocidal ideology to its listeners and encourage them to recognize threat and take action against the perceived enemy. We can say that it helped to radicalize sections of the Hutu population.

Radicalization is a complex process and not a static situation, subject to various kinds of influences (Mc Cauley & Moskaleiko, 2008). It designates the process by which an individual or group adopts a violent form of action, directly linked to an extremist ideology with political, social or religious content, which challenges the established order (Malvaceda et al., 2018 ; Reidy, 2019; Rink & Sharma, 2018). In the individual, this process is accompanied by a growing acceptance of the extreme personal repercussions of their ideas and actions, ultimately leading to a general behavior of uncompromising and tendency to seek confrontation with those who oppose them. It is perceived as a form of social and emotional compensation, emerging in reaction to real or perceived violence. This definition allows for a broad approach to the radical phenomenon. It places the radicalization observed in Rwanda before and during the genocide within the historical perspective of relations between Hutu and Tutsi. In this context, Hutu extremism is considered as a response from the Tutsi monarchy, the frustrations experienced during the colonial period, the revolution and the war for power between the RPF, made up of Tutsi refugees, and the pro-hutu Rwandan government. For example, the interhamwe militias, made up of radical Hutu extremists who participated very actively in the Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu 1994 genocide, originated after the 1959 revolution (Chrétien et al., 1995). During the genocide, RTL M made these events dating back more than three decades a source of motivation and incitement to mass violence with the aim of purging the social injustices of the past.

CONCLUSION

The media discourse which presided over the Rwandan genocide targeted not only extremist groups, but also populations. The programs broadcast by RTL M aimed to indoctrinate the populations, leading them to believe that Tutsi are criminals, enemies of Rwanda, and even people with no right to life, thus contributing to their dehumanization. The radio station's strategy consisted of increasing the number of programs and broadcasting the calls to action (Prunier, 1995b). The aim was to strengthen listeners' convictions, radicalize them and push them to participate in the killings without questioning their beliefs. In essence, the radio was brainwashing. Indeed, the literature on the propaganda used in the Rwandan genocide reveals the important role of RTL M in the transformation of behavior (African Rights, 1995). Concretely, during the genocide, RTL M became what one listener referred to as « vampire radio, openly calling for more bloodshed and massacres (Prunier, 1995b).

REFERENCES

- African rights. (1995). Rwanda death, despite and defiance. Published by African rights. https://www.abebooks.com/servlet/SearchResults?isbn=1899477039&cm_sp=mbc--1899477039--all
- Akçay, B. D., & Akçay, D. (2020). What are the factors that contribute to aggression in patients with co-occurring antisocial personality disorder and substance abuse? Archives in Clinical Psychiatry, 47(4), 95-100. DOI:10.1590/0101-60830000000240
- Allen, J. J., & Anderson, C. A. (2017). Aggression and violence: Definitions and distinctions. In P. Sturmei, The Wiley Handbook of Violence and Aggression (pp. 1-14). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Anderson, C. A., & Huesmann, L. R. (2003). Human aggression: A social-cognitive view. In M. A. Hogg & J. Cooper (eds.), The Sage handbook of social psychology (pp. 296-323). Sage.
- Ariyanto, A. Hornsey, M. J., & Gallois, C. (2007). Group Allegiances and Perceptions of Media Bias: Taking

- Into Account Both the Perceiver and the Source. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 10(2) 266-279.
- Asad, R. (2014). Major Research Paper: Radio and the Rwandan Genocide 6963734. <https://ruor.uottawa.ca/bitstream/10393/31457/1/ASAD,%20Rabiya%2020145.pdf>
- Banyanga, J. A., Björkqvist, K., & Österman, K. (2017). The trauma of women who were raped and children who were born as a result of rape during the Rwandan genocide: cases from the Rwandan diaspora. *Pyrex Journal of African Studies and Development*, 3(4), 31-39.
- Berkowitz, L., (1993). Pain and aggression: some findings and implications. *Motivation and Emotion*, 17, 277-293. doi10.1007/BF00992223.
- Bilsky, L., and Klagsbrun, R. (2018). The Return of Cultural Genocide ? *European Journal of International Law*, 29, 373-96. <https://academic.oup.com/ejil/article/29/2/373/5057075>
- Burnet, J. E. (2015). Rape as a Weapon of Genocide: Gender, Patriarchy, and Sexual Violence in the Rwandan Genocide. *Anthropology Faculty Publications*, 13.
- Catherine, B. (1995). Le viol des femmes survivantes du génocide des femmes du Rwanda. In R. Verdier, E. Deacux, & J.-P. Chrétien (eds.), *Rwanda : un genocide de XXe siècle*. L'Harmattan.
- Chalk, F. (1999). Hate Radio in Rwanda. In H. Adelman & A. Suhrke (eds.), *The Path of a Genocide: The Rwanda Crisis from Uganda to Zaire*. Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick.
- Chia, J., Yang, M., & Chang, J. J. C. (2009). Elaboration of the hostile media phenomenon: The roles of involvement, media skepticism, congruency of perceived media influence, and perceived opinion climate. *Communication Research*, 36, 54-75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650208326462>
- Chia, S. C., Yong, S. Y. J., Wong, Z. W. D., & Koh, W. L. (2007). Personal bias or government bias? Testing the hostile media effect in a regulated press system. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 19, 313-330. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edm011>
- Chrétien, J.-P., Dupaquier, J-F., Kabanda, M., & Ngarambe, J. (1995). *Rwanda: Les médias du génocide*. Éditions Karthala.
- Coe, K., Tewksbury, D., Bond, B. J., Drogos, K. L., Porter, R.W., Yahn, A., & Zhang, Y. (2008). Hostile News : Partisan Use and Perceptions of Cable News Programming. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 2, 201-219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00381.x>
- Dallaire, R. (2004). *The Media Dichotomy*. In A. Thompson (ed.), *The Media and the Rwandan Genocide*. Pluto Press.
- Duck, J. M., Terry, D. J., & Hogg, M. A. (1998). Perceptions of a media campaign: The role of social identity and the changing intergroup context. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(1), 3-16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167298241001>
- Ellemers, N., Spears, R., & Doosje, B. (2002). Self and social identity. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 161-186. <http://www3.psych.purdue.edu/~willia55/392F/EllemersSpearsDoosje.pdf>
- Estévez, E., Jiménez, T. I., & Moreno, D. (2018). Aggressive behavior in adolescence as a predictor of personal, family, and school adjustment problems. *Psicothema*, 30(1), 66-73. DOI : 10.7334/psicothema2016.294
- Finnegan, C. (2020). The Uyghur Minority in China : A Case Study of Cultural Genocide, Minority Rights and the Insufficiency of the International Legal Framework in Preventing State-Imposed Extinction. *Laws*, 9, 1, 1-20. doi:10.3390/laws9010001
- Fitzmaurice, K. (2018). Propaganda. *Brock Education Journal*, 27(2), 63-67. doi: <https://doi.org/10.26522/brocked.v27i2.580>
- Frohardt, M. (2003). Use and abuse of media in vulnerable societies [electronic resource]/Mark Frohardt and Jonathan Temin. Institute of Peace. <http://purl.access.gpo.gov/GPO/LPS38157>
- Geen, R. G. (2001). *Human aggression* (2nd ed.). Taylor & Francis.
- Gragg, T. (2012). Manipulating the Present to Recall the Past and Foretell the Future: Radio Télévision Libre des Milles Collines Broadcasts in Pre-Genocide Rwanda. A thesis submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in African Studies.

- Gunther, A. C., & Liebhart, J. L. (2006). Broad reach or biased source? Decomposing the hostile media effect. *Journal of Communication*, 56, 449-466. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00295.x>
- Gunther, A. C., Christen, C. T., Liebhart, J. L., & Chia, S. C. (2001). Congenial public, contrary press, and biased estimates of the climate of opinion. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 65, 295-320.
- Huge, M., & Glynn, C. J. (2010). Hostile Media and the Campaign Trail : Perceived Media Bias in the Race for Governor. *Journal of Communication*, 60, 165-181. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2009.01473.x>
- Kellow, C. L., & Stevens, H. L. (1998). The role of radio in the Rwandan genocide. *Journal of Communication*, 48, 3, 69-77. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1998.tb02762.x>
- Kimani, M (2007). RTLM: The Medium that Became a Took for Mass Murder? In Thompson, *The Media and the Rwanda Genocide* (pp. 110-124).
- Krahé, B. (2013). *The social psychology of aggression* (2nd éd.). Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203082171>
- Lafraniere, S. (2003). Court Finds Rwanda Media Executives Guilty of Genocide. <https://www.nytimes.com/2003/12/03/international/africa/court-finds-rwanda-media-executives-guilty-of-genocide.html>
- Leach, C. W., van Zomeren, M., Zebel, S., Vliek, M., Pennekamp, S. F., Doosje, B. Ouwerkerk, J. P., & Spears, R. (2008). Group-level self-definition and self-investment: A hierarchical (multicomponent) model of ingroup identification. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95, 144-165. <https://doi.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.144>
- Li, D. (2007). Echoes of Violence: Considerations on Radio and Genocide in Rwanda. In A. Thompson (ed.), *The Media and the Rwanda Genocide*. Pluto Press.
- Des Forges, A. (1999). *Leave None to Tell the Story: Genocide in Rwanda*. Human Rights Watch.
- Des Forges, A. (2007). Call to Genocide: Radio in Rwanda, 1994. In A. Thompson (ed.), *The Media and the Rwandan Genocide*. Pluto Press.
- Luft, A. (2019). Dehumanization and the normalization of violence : It's not what you think. <https://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/blog/28/05/2019/dehumanization-and-normalization-violence-its-not-what-you-think>
- Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu Mafeza, F. (2016). Preventing genocide by fighting against hate speech. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 4(3), 117-132.
- Mangueneau, D. (1984). Genèses du discours. In L. Mardaga, *Du discours à la pratique discursive* (pp. 135-156).
- Malhan, M., & Dewani, P. P. (2020). Propaganda as communication strategy : Historic and contemporary perspective. *Academic of Marketing Studies Journal*, 24(4), 1-15.
- Malvaceda, E., Herrero, J., & Correa, J. (2018). Political socialization and radicalization in militants of communist party of Peru-Sendero Luminoso (PCP-SL). *Convergencia Revista de Ciencias Sociales*, 78, 1-21.
- Matheson, K., & Dursun, S. (2001). Social identity precursors to the hostile media phenomenon: Partisan perceptions of coverage of the bosnian conflict. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 4(2), 116-125.
- Mccauley, C., & Moskalenko, S. (2008). Mechanisms of Political Radicalization : Pathways Toward Terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 20(3), 415-433. doi:10.1080/09546550802073367
- McDoom, O. S. (2005). Rwanda's ordinary killers: Interpreting popular participation in the Rwandan genocide. *Crisis States Research Center working papers series 1(77)*. London School of Economics.
- McDoom, O. S. (2012). The Psychology of threat in intergroup conflict: emotions, rationality, and

- opportunity in the Rwanda genocide. *International security*, 37(2), 119-155.
- Medeiros, M. (2014). *The importance of language: The impact of linguistic vitality on intergroup tensions*. Thèse pour le Doctorat en Science politique, Université de Montréal.
- Melvorn, L. (2000). *A People Betrayed: The Role of the West in Rwanda*. Zed.
- Melvorn, L. (2005). *Radio Murder*. *Times Literary Supplement*.
- Messanga, G. A. (2018). Effet de la scolarisation des élèves issus de familles francophones dans le sous-système éducatif anglophone sur l'identité sociale anglophone : une analyse dans la perspective théorique de la menace intergroupe. In A. E. Ebongue & A. Djoum Nkwescheu (dir.), *L'insécurité linguistique dans les communautés anglophone et francophone du Cameroun* (pp. 251-274). L'Harmattan.
- Mironko, C. (2007). *The Effect of RTLM's Rhetoric of Ethnic Hatred in Rural Rwanda*. In A. Thompson (ed.), *The Media and the Rwanda Genocide*. Pluto Press.
- Misse, F., & Jaumain, Y. (2003). *Death by Radio*. *Index on Censorship*. *Fanning Rwanda Genocide* (pp.72-74). *New York Times*.
- Mitchell, N. (2004). *Agents of Atrocity: Leaders, Followers, and the Violation of Human Rights in Civil War*. Palgrave.
- Monasebian, S. (2007). *The Pre-Genocide Case Against Radio-Television Libre des Milles Collines*. In A. Thompson (ed.), *The Media and the Rwanda Genocide*. Pluto Press.
- Munyandamutsa, N. (2001). *Question du sens et des repères dans le traumatisme psychique, Réflexions autour de l'observation clinique d'enfants et d'adolescents survivants du génocide Rwandais de 1994*. Edition Médecine et Hygiène.
- Mutabazi, E. (2011). En quoi l'enseignement de l'histoire avant le génocide a-t-il contribué à la souffrance à l'école du Rwanda ? *Cercle interdisciplinaire de recherches phénoménologiques*, 103-116.
- Parrott, D. J., & Giancola, P. R. (2007). Addressing "The criterion problem" in the assessment of aggressive behavior: Development of a new taxonomic system. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 12, 280-299. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2006.08.002>
- Piton, F. (2018). *Le génocide des Tutsi au Rwanda. La découverte*.
- Piton, F., & Adjemian, B. (2018). Rwanda: pour une histoire des violences de masse dans la longue durée. *Etudes arméniennes contemporaines*, 11, 105-122. <https://doi.org/10.4000/eac.1719>
- Price, V. (1989). Social identification and public opinion: Effects of communicating group conflict. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 53, 197-224.
- Prunier, G. (1995a). *The Rwanda crisis : history of a genocide*. New Columbia University Press.
- Prunier, G. (1995b). *Genocide in Rwanda*. In D. Chirot & M. E. P. Seligman (Éds.), *Ethnopolitical Warfare: Causes, Consequences, and Possible Solutions* (pp. 109-116). American Psychological Association.
- Rapport de la Commission Internationale. (1993). *Rapport de la Commission Internationale d'Enquete sur les Violations des Droits de L'Homme AU Rwanda Depuis le 1er Octobre 1990. Rapport Final*. <http://cec.rwanda.free.fr/documents/doc/RapportMars93/ComIntMars93.pdf>
- Reid, S. A. (2012). A self-categorization explanation for the hostile media effect. *Journal of Communication*, 62, 381-399.
- Reidy, K. (2019). Benevolent Radicalization: An Antidote to Terrorism. *Perspectives on Terrorism*, 13(4), 1-13.
- Rink, A., & Sharma, K. (2018). The Determinants of Religious Radicalization: Evidence from Kenya. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 62(6), 1229-1261.
- RTLM Transcript (March 23, 1994). *Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies*. http://migs.concordia.ca/links/documents/RTML_23Mar94_eng_K026-8048-K026-8066.pdf
- RTLM Transcript. (June 20th, 1994). *Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies*. http://migs.concordia.ca/links/documents/RTML_20Jun94_eng_tape0035.pdf

- RTLTM Transcript. (March 23, 1994). Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies. http://migs.concordia.ca/links/documents/RTLTM_23Mar94_eng_K026-8048-K026-8066.pdf
- RTLTM Transcript. (May 17-18, 1994). Montreal Institute of Genocide and Human Rights Studies.
- Rubanzana, W., Ntaganira, J., Freeman, M. D., & Hedt-Gauthier, B. L. (2015). Risk factors for homicide victimization in post-genocide Rwanda: a population based case-control study. *BMC Public Health*, 15, 809, 1-9.
- Samuel, T. (2009). *Plight and Fate of Women during and Following Genocide*. Genocide: A critical bibliographic Review, 7. Transaction Publishers.
- Sanz, A. T. (2018). Communication, ideology and power: Notes on the debate between intentional propaganda theory and spontaneous reproduction of propaganda theory. *Comunicación y Sociedad*, 32, 173-190.
- Schmitt, K. M., Gunther, A. C., & Liebhart, J. L. (2004). Why partisans see mass media as biased. *Communication Research*, 31(6), 623-641.
- Standberg Hassellind, F. (2020). Groups defined by gender and the genocide convention. *Genocide Studies and Prevention: An International Journal*, 14(1), 60-75. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1911-9933.14.1.1679>
- Staub, E. (2002). The psychology of bystanders, perpetrators, and heroic helpers. In L. Newman & R. Erber (Eds.), *Understanding genocide: The social psychology of the Holocaust* (pp. 11-42). Oxford University Press.
- Staub, E. (2013). A world without genocide: Prevention, reconciliation, and the creation of peaceful societies. *Journal of Social Issues*, 69, 180-199.
- Staub, E., Pearlman, L. A., Gubin, A., & Hagengimana, A. (2005). Healing, forgiveness, and reconciliation in Rwanda: Intervention and experimental evaluation. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 24, 297-334.
- Stephan, W. G., & Renfro, C. L. (2002). The Role of Threats in Intergroup Relations. In D. Mackie & E. R. Smith (eds), *From prejudice to intergroup emotions* (pp. 191-208). Psychology Press.
- Straus, S. (2007). What Is the Relationship between Hate Radio and Violence? *Rethinking Rwanda's Radio Machete*. *Politics & Society*, 35, 609.
- Suedfeld, P. (2020). Book review essay: Political violence, from riot to terrorism to genocide: Four analyses. *Political Psychology*, 41(6), 1181-1188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12685>
- Tsfati, Y. (2007). Hostile media perceptions, presumed media influence and minority alienation: The case of Arabs in Israel. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 632-651.
- Valentino, B. A. (2004). *Final Solutions: Mass Killing and Genocide in the Twentieth Century*. Cornell University Press.
- Vallone, R. P., Ross L., & Lepper, M. R. (1985). The hostile media phenomenon: Biased perception and perceptions of media bias in coverage of the Beirut massacre. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49(3), 577-585.
- Vianney Higiuro, J-M. (1996). Rwandan Private Print Media on the Eve of the Genocide. *Journal Article - IDRC Archive*. http://reseau.crdi.ca/en/ev-108186-201-1-DO_TOPIC.html
- Vollhardt, J.R. and Bilewicz, M. (2013) After the Genocide: Psychological Perspectives on Victim, Bystander, and Perpetrator Groups. *Journal of Social Issues*, 69, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12000>
- Wrangham, R. W. (2018). Two types of aggression in human evolution. *PNAS*, 115(2), 245-253.
- Gustave Adolphe Messanga, Marios Yannick Duclair Tajeugueu Zhao, S. (2019). Rape and Genocide: The Role of Sexual Assault in the Rwandan Genocide. *Scaffold: A showcase of vanderbilt first-year writing*, 1, 1-12.

First publication right:

International Journal of Social Service and Research (IJSSR)

This article is licensed under:

